

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/670,798 09/26/2003 Masanao Kunugi Q77716 4370 EXAMINER 7590 04/19/2005 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC GOODROW, JOHN L 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Washington, DC 20037-3213 1756

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)		Office Action Sumr	nary P	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050413	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftspers 3) Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Draftspers Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (F ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO-948) r PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	gment is made of a claim] Some * c)□ None of:	ı for foreign priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
Priority under 35 U.	_				
11)⊡ The oath or	declaration is objected t			ee Action or form PTO-152.	
1				ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	cation is objected to by th		_		
Application Papers					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restri	iction and/or election	n requirement.		
	is/are objected to.				
	is/are allowed. - <u>11</u> is/are rejected.				
l	above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed.	are withdrawn from	consideration.		
	-11 is/are pending in the	• •			
Disposition of Clain	ns				
closed in a	ccordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.	
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	<u> </u>				
1)□ Responsiv	e to communication(s) fil	led on			
Status					
THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD F ATE OF THIS COMMUN ay be available under the provision S from the mailing date of this com specified above is less than thirty (is specified above, the maximum s the set or extended period for repl the Office later than three months djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no Imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an Ity will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be to statutory minimum of thirty (30) do d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Period for Reply	OTATI TORV CTCC				
The MAIL	ING DATE of this commu		Goodrow the cover sheet with the	1756 correspondence address	
Office Action Summary		Exami		Art Unit	
Office Action Comme		10/670	,798	KUNUGI ET AL.	
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/670,798 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1. What does the regulating member regulate? How does it press the toner? Claim 2. What is a particle size segment? What is a charge quantity segment? Claim 3. The toner is a reverse polarity to what, the image or other toner particles. Claim 4. What is a charge quantity segment? Claims 5-9. How are the various items determined in the control step?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tazawa et al in view of Hosoya et al and Ishihara et al. Applicants' invention is to the use in an electrophotographic process a toner with a size and charge relationship that lessens problems of fogging, scattering, and transfer dust. Tazawa et al teaches the means for achieving a high image quantity of images, toners used in developers may e made to have sharp charge quantity distributions. When toners have a sharp charge quantity distribution, individual toner particles constituting the toner can be charged in a uniform quantity. Hence, images formed may have less fog or black spots around the images. In general the charge quantity of the toner particle s is proportional t0 the particle diameter of the toner. Note Col. 2 lines 10-30. The toners have a small diameter and a sharp particle size distribution with a sharp charge quantity distribution. Note Col. 10 lines 30-50. The toner can be used as a one-component type developer. Note Col.24 lines 32-45 & Col. 26 lines 50-68. Tazawa fails to teach the relationship between the residual toner charge and a regulating member or the relationship of the charge and particle size. Hosoya et al teaches the relationship of the charge of the toner and the physical states of the developed image note Col.15 example 5 and Ishihara Fig 4 shows the relationship between the charge and the size of a toner used in an electrophotographic

Art Unit: 1756

developer. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use the known relationships between the charge of the toner and the size of the toner in the developer of Tazawa et al to improve the developed images according to the charges on the toner and the size of the toner particles.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> John L Goodrow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756